

Arizona Supreme Court Data Standardization Advisory Committee

AGENDA

April 28, 2026, 1:30 pm – 3:30 pm

[Zoom Webinar Link](#), Passcode: 891514, Webinar ID: 961 4369 1963

Conference Call Line: 888-788-0099 (US Toll Free)

1:25 p.m.	Zoom Webinar Open for Members, Attendees and Presenters	Laura Ritenour, AOC
Meeting Opening		
1:30 p.m.	<p>Welcome to Members and Proxies, and Roll Call of Attendees</p> <p>Welcome Joana White and Charlie Barrett (Maricopa County Justice Courts). They are replacing Jennifer Harrold and Ginger Rodas.</p> <p>Review/Vote on February 24, 2026, Meeting Minutes</p>	Mike Malone, Chair
1:35 p.m.	Call to the Public	Mike Malone, Chair
Items from Previous Meeting/s		
1:40 p.m.	Remaining Required Data Elements Review for 2027-2030 Checklists - Review/Discuss/Vote (Laura Ritenour and Michael Wise)	
New Items		
2:15 p.m.	<p>Code Standardization Requests (Review/Discuss/Vote)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Designate MVD as authority for DL Restriction and Endorsement Codes (Laura Ritenour) 2) Amend a Court Role Type Definition (Laura Ritenour and Ester Reeves) 3) Mental Health Repository Code Set (Laura Ritenour and Summer Dalton) 4) Additional AZPOINT Codes (Laura Ritenour and Michele Gillich) 5) Sentence Type Code Set (Laura Ritenour) 6) Appearance Reason Code Set (Laura Ritenour) 7) Event Entry Type Code Set (Laura Ritenour and Michael Wise) 8) Event Category Code Set (Laura Ritenour and Michael Wise) 9) Hearing Results (Laura Ritenour) <p>Idea - Creation of Hearing Results Workgroup (Laura Ritenour)</p>	

Ongoing Business		
3:20 p.m.	Updates, Comments, and Questions from Members	
	Reminder - The next committee meeting will be Tuesday, May 26, 2026, 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. via Zoom webinar.	Mike Malone, Chair
3:30 p.m.	Closing Comments and Adjournment (motion needed)	Mike Malone, Chair

All times are approximate. The Chair reserves the right to set the order of the agenda. For any item on the agenda, the Committee may vote to go into executive session as permitted by Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §1-202. Please contact Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675 with any questions concerning this agenda. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation by contacting Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange for the accommodation.

EXECUTIVE SESSION: For any item listed on the agenda, the Committee may vote to go into Executive Session for the purpose of discussion or consultation with an attorney employed by or representing any judicial entity regarding legal advice, pursuant to the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration, Code Section 1-202(C)(5)(c).

DATA STANDARDS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

February 24, 2026

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m., via Zoom Webinar

DRAFT MINUTES

Present: Gil Bensinger, Laura Bergan, Daniel Bowman, Summer Dalton, Ralph Garcia, Dean Hammel, Christopher Fong, Adele May, Susann Holland, Randy Kennedy, Niltza Flores, Ester Reeves, Ginger Rodas, Marcos Romero, Danica Sanchez, Adam Walterson, Jeanette Wiesenhofer, and Michael Malone

Not Present: Laurie Allen, Odette Apodaca, Tania Nieblas, and Katrina Solis

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and Guests: Richard “Rico” Rivera (AOC), Mary Bellefeuille (Mesa), Jennifer Ferguson (Maricopa), Anirban Mitra (AOC), Allen Wheeler (Pima), Jessica Cooley (Pima), Michele Gillich (AOC), Patrick McGrath (Chandler), Kristie Wooley (AOC), Jennifer Jones (AOC), Laura Ritenour (AOC), Laura Elena Navarro Cobos (Paradise Valley), and Michael Wise (AOC)

I. CALL TO ORDER

A. Welcome and Opening Remarks

The January 27, 2026, meeting of the Data Standards Advisory Committee was called to order at 10:01 a.m. by Mike Malone, meeting chair. Mike welcomed members, took rollcall, established a quorum, and noted the meeting was being recorded.

B. January 27, 2026, Meeting Minutes

Mike Malone displayed the January 27, 2026, draft meeting minutes. Mike asked for a motion to approve the minutes.

Marcos Romero made a motion to approve the minutes, and Niltza Flores seconded the motion. The committee voted and the motion passed unanimously.

C. Call to the Public

Mike Malone made a call to the public for comments. There was no response.

D. AOC Statistics Team – Updates from Team and Questions from Members

Mike Malone introduced Richard “Rico” Rivera from the AOC Statistics Team and invited questions from members. A question was asked as to whether the nature of court reporting would change once the CCR begins receiving court data. Mike stated that this is still under discussion. Laura Ritenour clarified that the CCR project is separate from the reporting currently performed for the Statistics Team.

II. ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS AND NEW ITEMS

E. 2027 – 2030 Remaining Required Data Elements Review

Laura Ritenour reviewed the prior discussion regarding potential additions, removals, or modifications to the remaining Required Data Elements (RDEs) and provided brief historical context.

Members discussed the purpose, value, and implementation effort associated with the remaining RDEs. It was suggested that unmet data requests could help guide prioritization for the remaining RDEs. The committee also expressed concern about the lack of clearly defined use cases for certain elements, including “Case Disposition Detail,” currently defined as “A more detailed description of how a case was resolved.” Members noted that without a clear, standardized definition, consistent implementation would be challenging. Including sample values for each RDE was suggested to clarify expectations.

Pima County requested additional time to review the RDEs internally before determining next steps. No vote was taken. The item will be discussed further at the April meeting.

F. Code Standardization Webpage Updates

Laura Ritenour reported updates to the Code Standardization webpage, including revisions to the Code Request Form. Statewide codes approved since July 2021 remain at the top of the page. Code sets that still need to be approved by this committee are in the middle section. Code sets that are not needed for the CCR Specification, interfaces with justice partners, or required data elements will be removed in March, as they are not needed for CCR specifications.

Members asked whether the lists could reflect CORE transactions and show how values connect across transactions. The committee also inquired about publishing standardized document types associated with events. Laura stated she will research these topics and report back to the group.

G. Request from All Case Management Systems – Sentence Type/Codes

Laura Ritenour requested that all courts email their case management system’s Sentence Type/Codes lists to DataStandards@courts.az.gov by March 20, 2026, so the Code Standardization Team can begin reviewing and standardizing this code set.

H. TAC Announcement

Mike Malone informed the committee that at the upcoming Friday TAC meeting, AOC Information Technology will announce a timeline for courts to receive CCR validation reports, for transactions to be validated against code standards, and for transaction rejections. He recommended that all non-AJACS courts attend the meeting to learn the details.

I. Updates, Comments and Questions from Members

There were no updates, comments, or questions from members.

J. Adjournment

A motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Marcos Romero at 10:56 a.m.

K. Next Committee Meeting Date

Tuesday, April 28, 2026, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m., Zoom Webinar

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Discussion - Remaining Required Data Elements (RDE) Review

Background

The National Center for State Courts published the National Open-Court Data Standards (NODS) in 2019. AOC leadership believed the NODS framework could be helpful for data standardization efforts for Arizona courts.

In March 2020, the Steering Committee on Data-Based Court Performance and Data Standards was established by [Administrative Order 2020-53](#). The AO states that the “committee is charged with identifying court data that is needed to make data-based decisions related to case processing, court policy and management decisions, allocation of court resources, and data exchanges with other government entities. The committee will make recommendations regarding what data elements need to be collected in each case type, data governance, and other steps needed to improve data quality. “ [Committee members](#) include judges, Clerks of Court, chief information/technology officers, and court administrators (both in general and limited jurisdiction courts).

At its September 2020 meeting, the committee learned about the NODS data elements and they separated into workgroups to review the NODS and decide which ones were priorities for Arizona courts.

Members were told to select elements from the NODS list based on the following criteria:

- 1) Important case/party attribute or milestone event
- 2) Data sharing among courts and other agencies
- 3) Public safety
- 4) Caseflow management/court performance
- 5) Federal, state, and local mandates

Committee members were told to disregard elements from the NODS list based on the following:

- 1) No consistent data source
- 2) Not important for processing a case
- 3) Data entry may be more appropriate in a system outside the court case management system
- 4) The automation updates, business process changes, and training would be too significant for the value gained

At their March and July 2021 meetings, the members voted on the NODS and those elements were added to Arizona Code of Judicial Administration § 1-508: Required Data Elements (the new name for NODS in Arizona).

Over the past several years, the Data Standardization Advisory Committee has recommended changes to the Data Standards Steering Committee, which has voted on these items. Laura Ritenour is keeping a list of changes for now. This is because all RDE approved by the Data Standards Steering Committee are in ACJA § 1-508. Any modifications must go through the code revision process,

which can take 4-6 months. It is preferred that we only go through this process once, as it is resource-intensive.

Proposed Motions

- 1) Motion to recommend to the Data Standards Steering Committee that the elements below be removed from ACJA § 1-508.

Category	Data Element	Comments

- 2) Motion to recommend to the Data Standards Steering Committee that the elements below be modified in ACJA § 1-508.

Category	Data Element	Comments

- 3) Motion to recommend to the Data Standards Steering Committee that the elements below be added to ACJA § 1-508.

Category	Data Element	Comments

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Designate Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) of Arizona Department of Transportation as authority for Driver License Endorsements and Restrictions. Requested by AOC Court Services Division

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In ACJA 1-508, Driver License Endorsement and Restrictions are listed as required data elements. Currently, there is no CCR stage feed with these data elements.
- Both code sets are maintained by the Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) of Arizona Department of Transportation. Any updates to the code sets would be coordinated through that agency.
- This code set is used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes should be added within 90 days.

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the designation of MVD as the authority for Driver License Restrictions and Endorsement Codes.

Driver License Restriction Chart is an attachment. Driver License Endorsement Chart is below.

Code	Description
M	Motorcycle
H	Hazardous Materials
N	Tank
P	Passenger
T	Double-Triple Trailer
X	Combination of T/Tank and H/Hazardous Materials
D	Driver

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Amend a Court Role Type Definition, requested by Phoenix Municipal Court

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- There are inspectors enforcing city code offenses (Zoning, Fire, etc.), and Rabies/Animal Control Officers who are non-sworn individuals citing offenses into Phoenix Municipal Court.
- When looking at the standardized Court Role Types approved in February 2025, Phoenix found “OF” for Officer of a police department. They did not find anything to use for non-police individuals filing offenses into an LJ Court.
- Phoenix requested to broaden the current definition for Officer/OF so that these other types of non-sworn, non-police individuals can be added to cases. They are not seeking to include several new court role types for each of the citing individuals above.
- AOC requested to broaden the definition so it could include DPS troopers and other law enforcement beyond police departments.
- The list of all current Court Role Types is below the request.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? Yes
- Should any document associated with this Docket (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? n/a

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to amend the definition of the Officer/OF court role type listed below.

	Code	Description	Definition
Current	OF	Officer	A member of a police department.
Proposed	OF	Officer	A member of a law enforcement agency or other non-sworn officers authorized to cite offenses into an Arizona court.

Court Role Code	Court Role Description	Court Role Definition
A	Appellant	The party who appeals a lower court's decision in a higher court. The appellant seeks reversal or modification of the decision.
AB	Arbitrator	A person chosen to decide a dispute or settle differences, especially one formally empowered to examine the facts and decide the issue. In most cases the arbitrator is an attorney.
AE	Adoptee	Noun form of adopt - legally take (another's child) and bring it up as one's own.
AF	Affiant	A person who swears to an affidavit.
AG	Attorney General	The principal legal officer who represents a country or a state in legal proceedings and gives legal advice to the government.
AL	Attorney Law Firm	The Organization for which Attorneys and their respective Administrative Staff (e.g., Legal Assistant) are employed and perform work.
AM	AUTMA Minor	Uniform Transfers to Minors Act (UTMA) provides a mechanism under which gifts can be made to a minor without requiring the presence of an appointed guardian for the minor. The Act allows the donor of the gift to transfer title to a custodian who will man
AR	Adoptor	One who legally takes (another's child) to bring up as one's own.
B	Appellee	The party against whom an appeal is filed. The appellee usually seeks affirmance of the lower court's decision.
BA	Bailiff	Refers to a court official who keeps order in the courtroom and handles various tasks for the judge and clerk such as calling cases to approach the bench.
BG	Groom	A man newly married, or about to be.
BR	Bride	A woman newly married, or about to be.
C	Conservator	A guardian and protector appointed by a judge to protect and manage the financial affairs and/or the person's daily life due to physical or mental limitations or old age. The conservator may be only of the "estate" (meaning financial affairs), but may be
CA	Child's Best Interest Attorney	An attorney who specializes in the best interest of the child standard used in family law to make decisions impacting a child in matters of adoption, child custody, guardianship, and visitation, among other issues.
CB	Court Appointed	Person specifically appointed by the court.
CC	Cross Claimant / Plaintiff	A cross-claim is a claim by a party against a party on the same side of a lawsuit. For example, a defendant suing another defendant named by the plaintiff in a lawsuit.
CD	Cross Defendant	Cross defendant is the party against whom a cross-claim is asserted.
CE	Contestee	A winner (of a race or an election etc.) whose victory is contested.
CF	Fiduciary	A relationship in which one party places special trust, confidence, and reliance in and is influenced by another who has a fiduciary duty to act for the benefit of the party —called also confidential relationship, fiduciary relation.

CG	Conflict/Court Appointed	A conflict attorney is a private attorney who works with, but not for, the public defender's office. A lawyer can only represent one defendant per case as multiple defendants have conflicting interests. When a PD declares a conflict and a legal defender i
CI	Court Appointed Investigator	Upon the filing of a petition for appointment of a guardian or conservator, the court is required to appoint a court investigator. Before being appointed as an investigator pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 14-5303(c), 14-5407(b), or 36-540(g), a person shall first
CL	Clerk	A person employed in an office or government agency who performs various tasks such as keeping records or accounts, filing, letter writing, or transcribing.
CO	Court Reporter Organization	The Organization for which Court Reporters are employed and perform work.
CP	Counter Plaintiff	A counter plaintiff is a plaintiff on a counterclaim. First a plaintiff files a claim on a lawsuit. The filing party is the plaintiff and the sued party is a defendant. The defendants can then file claims against each other (called crossclaims) or the def
CR	Contestor	A person who contests the results of an election or the party who, in proceedings in the probate court, contests the validity of a will.
CT	Counter Defendant	A counter defendant is a defendant on a counterclaim. First a plaintiff files a claim on a lawsuit. The filing party is the plaintiff and the sued party is a defendant. The defendants can then file claims against each other (called crossclaims) or the def
CU	Court Reporter	A person employed to transcribe speech from legal proceedings, typically via stenography.
D	Defendant	The person defending or denying; the party against whom relief or recovery is sought in an action or suit, or the accused in a criminal case.
DC	Deputy Clerk of Court	A deputy clerk has the authority to act in the place of a clerk in the court's official business.
DM	Demandant	One who makes a demand or a claim.
DR	Medical Professional	A person licensed or certified to provide health care services.
E	3rd Party Defendant	A third party defendant means that you have been sued by the original defendant of the lawsuit. The original defendant is probably claiming that you are at fault for all or partly at fault for the complaint by the plaintiff in their lawsuit.
F	Applicant	Claimant, moveant, party, petitioner, solicitant.
F1	Marriage Applicant 1	Bride/Groom/Spouse Information Marriage.
F2	Marriage Applicant 2	Bride/Groom/Spouse Information Marriage.
G	Guardian	One who is legally responsible for the care and management of the person or property of an incompetent or minor.
GC	Guardian / Conservator	A guardian or a protector is appointed by a judge to manage the financial affairs and/or daily life of another due to physical or mental limitations, or old age.

GE	Garnishee	A party who is in possession of money or property of a debtor and has been notified by a court or other legal body that that money or property must be made available to satisfy a monetary judgment to the party owed by the debtor.
H	Guardian Ad Litem	A guardian ad litem is a guardian appointed by a court to protect the interests of a minor or incompetent in a particular matter. Typically, the court may appoint either a lawyer or a court appointed special advocate volunteer to serve as guardian ad lite
I	Real Party in Interest	In law, the real party in interest is the one who actually possesses the substantive right being asserted and has a legal right to enforce the claim (under applicable substantive law). Additionally, the "real party in interest" must sue in his own name. I
IE	Interpreter	A person who provides an oral translation between speakers who speak different languages.
IN	Incapacitated Person	"Incapacitated" means lacking the ability to manage property and business affairs effectively because of mental illness, mental deficiency, or physical illness or disability.
IP	Incapacitated / Protected Person	A protected person is a minor or incapacitated person for whom a court has appointed a conservator. It can also be any person for whom a protective order has been made.
IR	Intervenor	One who enters into a lawsuit as a third party to assert a claim against one or both of the existing parties.
IT	Interested Party	Entity who has a recognizable stake in the outcome of a matter before a court, but may not be directly involved in the litigation process.
JA	Judicial Assistant	Judicial assistants work for federal, state and county court judges. They perform administrative tasks to help judges manage their workload and court schedule.
JDG	Judge	A public official appointed to decide cases in a court of law.
JPT	Judge Pro Tem	A judge pro tem is not a regular judge, but someone (usually a lawyer) who is brought in to serve temporarily as a judge. Many courts use pro tem judges because there are too many cases for the regular judges to handle.
JS	Judicial Staff	Judicial staff is comprised of persons who are employees of the judicial branch of the Government who perform adjudicatory functions with respect to proceedings in the judicial branch.
K	Claimant	One who asserts a demand, one who claims a right, party to a suit, person who makes a claim or a person with a grievance.
LD	Legal Defender	A Legal Defender is appointed to represent a defendant who is unable to afford legal assistance when the Public Defender is unavailable or has a conflict .
LP	Legal Paraprofessional	The Legal Paraprofessional (LP) is a newly created role that requires AZ State Bar certification and an AZ State Bar assigned ID. The LP's scope of work is limited to only a few case types (e.g., Family, Civil, Criminal, Administration).

M	Minor	Not having reached legal adulthood (commonly 18 years of age). A minor possesses restricted or no legal capacity.
MD	Mediator	A person who attempts to make people involved in a conflict come to an agreement; a go-between.
MP	Mental Health Professional	A person serving as a mental health professional in Juvenile cases
MV	Minor Victim	A minor (someone under legal age) who has been harmed, injured or killed as a result of a crime, accident, or other event or action.
N	Probation Officer	1. An officer charged with assisting courts in the investigation of defendants' backgrounds and circumstances to assist in the determination of appropriate sentences and in the supervision of convicted offenders who have received a sentence of probation.
ODRVendor	ODRVendor	A unique case participant role code assigned to vendors specializing in Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) services. Each ODR vendor must include the "ODRVendor" case participant role code with each new e-filed case submission transmitted to a court.
OF	Officer	A member of a police department.
OP	Protected Person	A protected person is a minor or incapacitated person for whom a court has appointed a conservator. It can also be any person for whom a protective order has been made.
OR	Objector / Respondent	Someone who puts forward an objection, a disagreement or disapproval.
P	Plaintiff	The party who sues in a civil action; a complainant; the prosecution—that is, a state or the United States representing the people—in a criminal case.
PA	Parent	A father or mother.
PB	Probationer	1. A convict who is released from prison provided they maintain good behavior. One who is on Probation whereby they are given some freedom to reenter society subject to the condition that for a specified period the individual conduct themselves in a manner
PCV	Process Server	A person who serves subpoenas or other legal documents, especially those requiring appearance in court.
PD	Proposed Fiduciary	A party who is being proposed to act as a fiduciary. Typically, a fiduciary prudently takes care of money or other asset for another person.
PE	Petitioner	One who presents a formal, written application to a court that requests action on a certain matter.
PF	Public Defender	A lawyer employed at public expense in a criminal trial to represent a defendant who is unable to afford legal assistance.
PH	Medical Examiner	1. A physician, usually a pathologist, who is officially authorized to determine the cause of suspicious or unusual deaths. 2. A physician who performs physical examinations to determine whether people are healthy enough to perform certain roles, such as
PN	Person	A person who takes part in something.
PO	Payor	The payor is the person who pays money.

PR	Payer	A person who pays or owes money that should be paid.
PS	Prosecutor	The public officer in a county, district, or other jurisdiction charged with carrying on the prosecution in criminal proceedings.
PT	Patient	A person receiving or registered to receive medical treatment.
PY	Property	A thing or things belonging to someone; possessions collectively.
PZ	Payee	The person to whom the money is being paid.
Q	3rd Party Plaintiff	A defendant who files a third-party complaint against a third party.
R	Requested	A party that is not yet considered in protected status as the judge has not yet granted or denied a petition but has set the matter for hearing.
RS	Personal Representative	A personal representative is a person who manages the legal affairs of another because of incapacity or death. A personal representative can be selected, either through a will or by the court, to manage the assets of an estate and dispense with them accordingly.
RT	Respondent	A defendant in a lawsuit, especially one in an appeals or divorce case.
S	Surety Company	Person or firm (such as a bank, bonding company, insurance company) that agrees to be primarily liable for the conduct, obligation, or performance of another. Surety who pays the debt of a borrower-in-default, in general, automatically acquires an assignment.
SA	Special Administrator	A special administrator is a person appointed by the court in a probate proceeding to manage the assets and/or investigate the status of the estate and report to the court. A special administrator is often appointed when there is a dispute between benefic
SG	Statutory Agent	An agent designated by law to receive litigation documents and other legal notices for a nonresident corporation. It is also known as a registered agent.
SM	Special Master	A person appointed by the court to carry out an order of the court, such as selling property or mediating child custody cases. A "special" master differs from a "master" in that he/she takes positive action rather than just investigating and reporting to
ST	State	The plaintiff in criminal cases.
T	Trustee	An individual person or member of a board given control or powers of administration of property in trust with a legal obligation to administer it solely for the purposes specified.
TG	Testamentary Guardian	Testamentary guardian is a person named in a will to act as a guardian. Usually testamentary guardians are appointed by a widowed parent of a minor child or disabled adult child to render assistance to the children.
TN	Trust Name	In general, a trust is a relationship in which one person holds title to property, subject to an obligation to keep or use the property for the benefit of another.
TR	Testator	One who makes or has made a will; one who dies leaving a will. A will is the document through which a deceased person disposes of his property.
U	Decedent	A person who is no longer living: a deceased person.

UW	Underwriter	A person or company that undertakes to finance or otherwise support or guarantee something.
V	Victim	A person harmed, injured, or killed as a result of a crime, accident, or other event or action.
VA	Victim Advocate	A person who defends or maintains a cause for a victim.
W	Ward	A person, usually a minor, under the care and control of a guardian appointed by their parents or a court.
WF	Will Filer	The person who files your will which provides all the essential and necessary details of who will inherit your property.
WP	Ward / Protected Person	A ward/protected person is a minor or incapacitated person for whom a court has appointed a guardian.
WT	Witness	A person who sees an event, typically a crime or accident, take place.
X	Other	Used to refer to a person or thing that is different or distinct from one already mentioned or known about.
Y	Attorney	A person appointed to act for another in business or legal matters.
Z	Bail Bondsman	A person, agency or corporation that will act as a surety and pledge money or property as bail for the appearance of a defendant in court.

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Event Codes Required by Mental Health Repository through eAccess to support ARS 36-540(S). Requested by Summer Dalton, AOC eCourt Services Unit

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In preparation for the statewide Mental Health Repository (MHR) to transition over to CCR (currently uses CCI), the MHR Project has identified a set of event/document codes that will be used for logic in the MHR.
- MHR Business Rule: Any non-sealed document associated with one of the following event/document codes in a Mental Health (MH) case is made available to MHR subscribers.
- These new codes would be used by general jurisdiction courts.
- These codes are included in the Event Entry Type code set that the Advisory Committee will be reviewing in April and May 2026. This code request was submitted so that Pima/AGAVE and Maricopa/iCIS staff can review these event/document codes separately from the 3,000+ Event Entry Type codes and allow those teams an opportunity to raise any concerns they have with mapping to these codes.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? NO. This data is not displayed to the general public. This data will only be made available to MHR approved subscribers
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? NO. These documents will not be made available to the general public. They will only be made available to MHR approved subscribers
- Note: FYI - There is an application process for MHR access. Only the following role types have access to this information: Superior Court Judges, County Attorneys, Patients' Attorneys (Public Defenders or Other Legal Defense Organizations), the Regional Behavioral Health Authority (RBHA), Behavioral Health Service Providers who are identified by a RBHA, and Health care institutions as defined in A.R.S. § 36-401 that provide services subject to the federal emergency medical treatment and active labor act (HB2041)

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC's FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the proposed codes and descriptions listed below as statewide standards.

Proposed Code	Proposed Description
11418	MISCELLANEOUS: Release from Evaluation
2000546	NOTICE: DISCHARGE FROM TREATMENT
13023	ORDER: AMENDED ORDER FOR TREATMENT
13117	ORDER: Approval of Revised Outpatient Treatment Plan
13263	ORDER: Continued Treatment
13279	ORDER: Continuing Court Ordered Treatment
13314	ORDER: Court Ordered Evaluation

NOTE: Below are two additional codes/descriptions that are used for MHR business logic, however these were already approved statewide as part of [NICS Code Set](#) (June 27, 2023 Advisory Committee meeting)

13322 - ORDER: Court Ordered Treatment

14046 - ORDER: TREATMENT

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Event Codes for transmission to CCR/AZPOINT. Requested by Michele Gillich, AOC Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- Standardizing event codes for the AZPOINT project is a high priority so the data between courts, CCR, AZPOINT, and law enforcement is accurate.
- These codes would be used by general and limited jurisdiction courts.
- These codes are included in the Event Entry Type code set that the Advisory Committee will be reviewing in April and May 2026. This code request was submitted so that courts can review these event/document codes separately from the 3,000+ Event Entry Type codes and allow those teams an opportunity to raise any concerns they have with mapping to these codes.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A Protective Order cases do not display on Public Access/eAccess
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A Protective Order cases do not display on Public Access/eAccess

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the proposed codes and descriptions listed below as statewide standards.

Proposed Code	Proposed Description
MODPETN	Protective Order Petition Modified
POACCSER	Protective Order Acceptance of Service
PODGS	Protective Order Defendants Guide Sheet
PONOTSER	Protective Order Declaration/Affidavit of Service
POPIS	Protective Order Information Sheet
POREQMOD	Protective Order Request to Modify
POSTRESC	Protective Order Hearing - Post Issuance - Reschedule

Approved by Steering Committee on June 7, 2023 and updated on April 30, 2024 and April 29, 2025 by approval of the Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Approved Code	Standard Description
POINIT	PROTECTIVE ORDER PETITION
PCTGD	PROTECTIVE ORDER GRANTED
PCTOD	PROTECTIVE ORDER DENIED
BRDYSET	PROTECTIVE ORDER BRADY FLAG SET
MODOP	PROTECTIVE ORDER AMENDED
POCSETX	PROTECTIVE ORDER TRANSFERRED
PETVACA	PROTECTIVE ORDER PETITION VACATED
PODS	PROTECTIVE ORDER DISMISSED
POINEFF	PROTECTIVE ORDER REMAINS IN EFFECT
POU	PROTECTIVE ORDER UPDATED
PORBA	PROTECTIVE ORDER REINSTATED ON APPEAL
PORBE	PROTECTIVE ORDER REINSTATED ON DISMISSAL ERROR
POSOPI	PROTECTIVE ORDER SERVICE OF PROCESS INFORMATION FORM

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Sentence Types. Requested by AOC Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In CCR Specifications Version 4.1, in the StageCase Charge feed is the SentenceCode field. The definition of the field is: “This indicates the type or category of sentences assigned to the charge by the Source system.”
- This is a desired field for transmission; however, AOC Information Technology Integration Team is requesting this field be standardized so that **if** a case management system sends this field, the data received will be standardized.
- This code set would be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.
- NOTE: In AJACS, a user does not select one of these codes. Instead, it is derived based on the sentencing and receivables entered by the user.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the proposed codes and descriptions listed below as statewide standards.

Proposed Code	Proposed Description	Proposed Jurisdiction
A	Acquittal	GJ & LJ
A1	AZ State Hospital	GJ & LJ
A2	Death Sentence	GJ & LJ
B	Department Of Corrections	GJ & LJ
B1	Treatment Facility	GJ
C	Dept Of Corrections W/Monetary	GJ & LJ
D	County Jail	GJ & LJ

E	County Jail W/Monetary	GJ & LJ
F	Monetary Or Pws Only	GJ & LJ
FR	Formal Reprimand	GJ
G	Probation Only	GJ & LJ
H	Probation & Monetary/Pws Only	GJ & LJ
I	Probation & County Jail	GJ & LJ
J	Prob & County Jail W/Monetary	GJ & LJ
JD	Juvenile Detention	GJ
JM	Juvenile Detention With Monetary	GJ
JP	Juvenile Detention W/Probation	GJ
JW	Juvenile Detention W/Probation and Monetary	GJ
K	Probation & Doc	GJ & LJ
L	Probation & Doc W/Monetary	GJ & LJ
M	Suspended	GJ & LJ
M3	Consolidated	GJ
N	Az Dept Of Juv Corrections	GJ & LJ
O	Az Dept Of Juv Corrections W/\$	GJ & LJ
P	Non-Supervised Probation	GJ & LJ
PA	Pre-Adjudication	LJ
Q	Monetary Only	GJ & LJ
R	Diversion Program Only	GJ & LJ
S	Community Restitution Only	GJ & LJ
V	License Suspended	GJ & LJ
W	Dismissed	GJ & LJ
X	Drug Court	GJ & LJ
Y	Mental Health Court	GJ & LJ
Z	DUI Court	GJ & LJ

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Appearance Reasons. Requested by AOC Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In CCR Specifications Version 4.1.4, in the Stage Hearing feed is the HearingTypeCode (aka Appearance Reason) field. The definition of the field is: “Refers to the specific reason a party or parties were scheduled to appear before the court. Submitted to CCR when the hearing is scheduled and may be updated after the hearing date with the result of the hearing.”
- Appearance Reason refers to the specific purpose a party or parties were scheduled to appear before the court. It refers to the purpose of the appearance not the fact that someone was present (“appeared”).
- This is a required field for transmission.
- This code set would be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the attached proposed codes and descriptions as statewide standards.

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Event Entry codes. Requested by AOC Court Services Division and Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In CCR Specifications Version 4.1.4, in the Stage Record of Action feed is the EventEntryCode field. The definition of the field is: “This indicates the code value assigned to the event or register of action by state standards.”
- These codes are also referenced in the Stage Document feed as the DocumentTypeCode. The definition of the field is: “This indicates the Event entry/ROA standard code assigned for Court Document .”
- The Document Type Code in the core_docs transaction and the Event Entry Code in the core_roa transaction use the same set of Even Entry codes for CCR.
- If the event is associated with a document, the court is not required to submit the event with transaction core_roa.
- This is a required field for transmission.
- This code set would be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the attached proposed codes and descriptions as statewide standards.

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Event Categories. Requested by AOC Court Services Division and Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- In CCR Specifications Version 4.1.4, in the Stage Record of Action feed is the EventCategoryCode field. The definition of the field is: “This indicates the code value of the event category associated to the Event Entry.”
- An event category is a high-level grouping that organizes related events into a meaningful segment, making it easier to filter data.
- This is a desired field for transmission; however, AOC Information Technology Integration Team is requesting this field be standardized so that **if** a case management system sends this field, the data received will be standardized.
- This code set would be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion

Motion to approve the attached proposed codes, descriptions, and definitions as statewide standards.

Data Standardization Advisory Committee

Code Standardization Request

Code Request and Requestor

Request to Standardize Hearing Results. Requested by AOC Technical Integration Team

Background, Summary, and Intended Use

- The AOC would like to collect data on hearing continuances to provide to court leadership and judges. Knowing the number and percentages of continues, by appearance reason and case type can help courts improve their case processing.
- In CCR Specifications Version 4.1.4, in the Stage Hearing feed is the HearingResultTypeCode (field. The definition of the field is: “This indicates the code value of the hearing result.”
- A “hearing result” is the outcome of a proceeding scheduled into a courtroom or remotely.
- This is a desired field for transmission; however, AOC Information Technology Integration Team is requesting this field be standardized so that if a case management system sends this field, the data received will be standardized.
- Every time a court results a hearing, they do NOT need to send a Stage Hearing transaction with the hearing code and result code.
- This code set would be used by both general and limited jurisdiction courts.

Proposal for Discussion

- 1) In order to meet AD 2024-10 deadline for this desired code set, Advisory Committee approves the code set below, however non-AJACS courts do not transmit their Hearing Results to the CCR.
- 2) Advisory Committee votes to create a Hearing Results Workgroup to create a statewide standardized code set with descriptions, codes, and definitions.
- 3) The Hearing Results Workgroup would present their recommendations to the Advisory Committee for discussion and voting.
- 4) When a Hearing Results code set is approved, Advisory Committee would work with AOC to draft an administrative directive for the Hearing Results to become a required field and create a deadline for all courts to implement.
- 5) The Advisory Committee and Hearing Results Workgroup would develop training materials for all courts.

Public Access and eAccess Display –

- Should this data be displayed on Public Access/eAccess? N/A
- Should any document associated with this Event/Document code (that is not sealed or restricted) be displayed in eAccess? N/A

Requested Implementation Timeline

When approved, the codes would be added to the AOC’s FME Data Translation Server and courts would need to transmit these codes per the CCR Integration Design Specification documentation by the June 30, 2026 date stated in [Administrative Directive 2024-10](#).

Proposed Motion #1

Motion to approve the proposed codes and descriptions as statewide standards.

Proposed Hearing Result Code	Proposed Hearing Result Description
AHC	Adjudication Hearing Concluded
APPRD	Appeared
COMPLETE	Complete
COMPLETE	Completed
CMPLNCE	Compliance
CON	Continue
CONT	Continued
CTC	Court Trial Concluded
CTC	Court Trial Concluded - Judgment
CTCO	Court Trial Concluded - Other
CTP	Court Trial In Progress
DENIED	Denied
FTA	Failure To Appear
GRANTED	Granted
HELD	Held
ODRH	Held - ODR
HVHO	Held By Volunteer Hearing Officer
JTC	Jury Trial Concluded
JTCO	Jury Trial Concluded - Other
JTC	Jury Trial Concluded - Verdict
JTP	Jury Trial In Progress
MT	Mistrial
NC	Non-Compliance
ORDERED	Ordered
RESCH	Rescheduled
RUA-CASE	Ruling Under Advisement - Case
RUA-ISSE	Ruling Under Advisement - Issue
UA	Under Advisement
UA-CASE	Under Advisement - Case
UA-ISSUE	Under Advisement - Issue
VACATD	Vacated

Proposed Motion #2

Motion to create a Hearing Results Workgroup to discuss and recommend an updated Hearing Results code set to the Data Standardization Advisory Committee.

